

# Hodge Theory Lecture 1

Mitchell Gaudet

January 14, 2025

Before we begin, a word of warning: A “function” in  $L^2$  (or  $L^p$  or  $W^{k,p}$ ) is actually an equivalence class of functions. Since from the point of view of integration theory measure 0 sets don’t matter, we identify functions which differ on a set of measure 0.

## Functional Analysis

Given a vector space  $V$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_V$  we may define an associated topology, as in the problems. Additionally, an inner product defines a norm, and thus a topology.

**Definition.** A Hilbert space is a possibly infinite-dimensional inner product space, such that the induced topology is complete

Hilbert spaces have many nice properties. One of the most useful is the following:

**Theorem.** *If  $H$  is a Hilbert space, then it is isometrically isomorphic to its dual*

*Proof.* See Folland □

This is a very useful fact when working with the calculus of variations, because of its impact on the weak topology.

**Definition.** If  $H$  is a Hilbert space, then a sequence  $f_i \rightharpoonup f$  weakly if  $\forall e \in H$  it holds that  $\langle f_i, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, e \rangle$

## Sobolev Spaces

We will assume for this section that all functions are defined in an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , with smooth boundary.

Given some  $g \in L^p$  we identify it with the corresponding distribution  $\phi_g$  using the notation  $\phi_g(f) = \langle g, f \rangle$  (also identify  $D^\alpha \phi_g \leftrightarrow D^\alpha g$ ).

Now, recall that given  $g \in L^2$ , if the distributional derivative  $D^\alpha g$  extends to a bounded map from  $L^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $D^\alpha g = h$  for some  $h \in L^2$ . It is a

routine exercise to show the converse. Now, a modification of part 2e) of the last problem set tells us that such an  $h$  is unique almost everywhere. In such a case, we write  $D^\alpha g$  instead of  $h$ . This is the so-called “weak derivative” We then have the following:

**Definition.** The Sobolev space  $W^{k,2}$  is the set of  $g \in L^2$  such that  $D^\alpha g$  extends to a bounded map from  $L^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . The Sobolev norm is

$$\|g\|_{W^{k,2}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha g\|_{L^2}$$

We identify two functions which have all weak derivatives agreeing except on a set of measure 0.

The Sobolev space  $W_m^{k,2}$  is the set of maps  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that each component is in  $W^{k,2}$ , with the norm

$$\|g\|_{W_m^{k,2}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sum_{i=1}^m \|D^\alpha g_i\|_{L^2}$$

I will now give some basic properties of  $W_m^{k,2}$ :

1. They are complete in the metric associated to the norm
2. The norm is induced by an inner product
3. If  $\{f_i\} \in W_m^{k,2}$ ,  $f \in W_m^{0,2}$  and  $f_i \rightarrow f$  in  $W_m^{0,2}$  and weakly in  $W_m^{k,2}$  then  $f \in W_m^{k,2}$
4. (mollifiers) There exists a family  $F_\varepsilon$  of linear operators  $W_m^{k,2} \rightarrow C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W_m^{k,2}(U)$  with the following properties
  - (a)  $F_\varepsilon$  is bounded from  $W_m^{k,2} \rightarrow W_m^{k,2}$
  - (b) For any operator of the form

$$(Pf)(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial x^i}(x) + \sum_{\beta=1}^m b^\beta(x) f_\beta(x)$$

where  $a_i^\alpha, b^\beta \in C^\infty(\overline{U})$  it holds that  $F_\varepsilon P - P F_\varepsilon$  is a bounded operator  $W_m^{k,2} \rightarrow W_m^{k,2}$ . (Here  $\alpha$  is a number, not a multi-index)

- (c)  $F_\varepsilon \rightarrow Id$  pointwise in  $W_m^{k,2} \rightarrow W_m^{k,2}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
5.  $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W_m^{k,2}(U)$  is dense in  $W_m^{k,2}$

## Differential Operators

**Definition.** A differential operator of order  $N$  is an operator  $P : C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^l)$  of the form

$$(Pf)^\mu(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta^{\alpha\mu}(x) D^\beta f_\alpha(x), \mu = 1, \dots, l$$

where  $a_\beta^{\alpha\mu} \in C^\infty(\bar{U})$ . Given  $\psi : U \rightarrow V$ , a diffeomorphism of open sets, we define the pushforward operator

$$((\psi_* P)(f))^\mu(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta^{\alpha\mu}(\psi^{-1}(x)) D^\beta (f_\alpha \circ \psi)(\psi^{-1}(x)), \mu = 1, \dots, l$$

so that  $\psi_* P : C^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow C^\infty(V, \mathbb{R}^l)$

Note then that  $P$  extends to a bounded linear operator  $W_m^{k,2} \rightarrow W_m^{k-m,2}$ .

**Definition.** If  $m = 1, N = 2k$  then  $P$  is (strongly) elliptic if  $|\sum_{|\beta|=2k} a_\beta(x) \xi^\beta| \geq C|\xi|^{2k}$  for  $C > 0$  independent of  $x$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the principal symbol  $\text{sym}_{2k}(P)(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\beta|=2k} a_\beta(x) \xi^\beta$

It is not immediately apparent that the highest order terms, or the push-forward, should have any significance. However, in the case  $n \geq 2, N = 2$  for simplicity, it holds:

**Theorem.** Let  $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a diffeomorphism onto its image. Then  $\text{sym}_2(P)(x, (D\psi)^T \xi) = \text{sym}_2(\psi_* P)(\psi(x), \xi)$ .

*Proof.* We note that if  $\psi(x) = y$

$$D^i(f \circ \psi)(x) = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^\gamma} \frac{\partial (D\psi)^\gamma}{\partial x^i}$$

$$D^{ij}(f \circ \psi)(x) = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^\gamma \partial y^\lambda} \frac{\partial (D\psi)^\lambda}{\partial x^j} (x) \frac{\partial (D\psi)^\gamma}{\partial x^i} (x) + \text{lower order terms}$$

but this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sym}_2(\psi_* P)(y, \xi) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\psi^{-1}(y)) \sum_{\gamma,\lambda=1}^n \xi^\gamma \xi^\lambda \frac{\partial (D\psi)^\lambda}{\partial x^j} (x) \frac{\partial (D\psi)^\gamma}{\partial x^i} (x) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) ((D\psi)^T \xi)^i ((D\psi)^T \xi)^j \end{aligned}$$

□

We now look at systems:

**Definition.** If  $N = 2k$  then  $P$  is (strongly) elliptic if  $m = l$  and

$$| \sum_{\mu, \alpha=1}^l \sum_{|\beta|, |\gamma|=k} a_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha\mu} \xi_{\alpha}^{\beta} \xi_{\mu}^{\gamma} | \geq C |\xi|^{2k}$$

The principal symbol is defined analogously.